UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,283	09/25/2006	Norbert Becker	03869.105634	6477
86528 King & Spaldin	7590 01/18/201 lg LLP	EXAMINER		
401 Congress A Suite 3200	venue	ROSENBAUM, MARK		
Austin, TX 787	01		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AustinUSPTO@kslaw.com AustinIP@kslaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/599,283	BECKER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practi	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,19,22-30,32 and 34-44 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,19,22-30,32,34-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original access and the correction of the original o	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/599,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The indication of allowability of the claims in the previous office action, except for claim 10, is hereby withdrawn in view of the newly found art. The examiner regrets any inconvenience this may cause applicant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/2/10 concerning Earle have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Earle discloses the claimed apparatus. The intended use of the apparatus is not further limiting to the apparatus per se.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 39-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Earle.

This patent shows in figure 4 a control device to oscillate a grinding pipe/drum.

Claims 39-41,43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siegmann. This patent shows apparatus for oscillating a rotatable drum in order to remove a frozen charge from the interior wall of the drum. Note that the particular use of the drum is not further limiting to these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-9,19,22-30,32,34-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) in view of Siegmann. APA is the process

Art Unit: 3725

and apparatus and its problems discussed early in the specification. This includes the use of a rotating grinding pipe/drum to crush material. A problem exists in that at times material will be 'frozen' along part of the interior wall of the drum which may result in damaged apparatus. Siegmann solves this problem by disclosing similar process and apparatus including a rotating drum that may have material 'frozen' along the interior wall of the drum, and resolution of the problem by oscillating the drum to free the frozen material. Therefore, in order to solve the 'frozen' material problem, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify APA by providing oscillating means for the drum, taught to be desirable by Siegmann. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious modifications by one skilled in the art, as they all deal with the degree of oscillation which would have been obvious once the oscillation concept was known as in Siegmann e.g. certain materials may require different degrees of oscillation, the amount of material frozen would dictate the number of oscillatory movements need to unfreeze the material, etc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on 571-272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,283 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

/Mark Rosenbaum/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725